

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/935,514 08/23/2001		08/23/2001	Martin W. McKinnon III	10263-35430 9171	
5642	7590	05/27/2005		EXAMINER	
		NTA, INC.	CHANG, RICHARD		
	ARLOAF P.	DPERTY DEPARTN ARKWAY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LAWREN	CEVILLE,	GA 30044	2663		

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/935,514	MCKINNON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard Chang	2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  If from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au	igust 0203.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-51 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	animer. Note the attached O	ince Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/23/2001.	Paper No(s)/N	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/935,514

Art Unit: 2663

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-51 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-48 of U.S. Patent No. 6,823,385.

Regarding claim 1, although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because clam 1 of the instant application merely broadens the scope of the claim 1 of the patent by eliminating the elements and their functions of the claims, e.g. "(e) wherein said step of determining class and user allowances of network access for a first time interval by allocating network access includes, (i) monitoring network access usage by each user, (ii) forecasting collective network access usage by each user class during a future time interval based on said step of monitoring network access usage by each user, and (iii) prioritizing the user classes for the allocating of the network access". It has been held that the omission an

Application/Control Number: 09/935,514

Art Unit: 2663

element and its functions is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA). Also note Ex parte Rainu, 168 USPQ 375 (Bd.App.1969); omission of a reference element whose function is not needed would be obvious to one skilled in the art.

<u>Regarding claims 2-8</u>, these claims have the same limitations as claims 2-8 of the patent, respectively.

<u>Regarding claim 9</u>, the limitation "prioritizing the user classes for the allocating of the network access" is found in line 20 of claim 1 of the patent.

<u>Regarding claims 10-18</u>, these claims have the same limitations as claims 9-17 of the patent, respectively.

Regarding claim 19, the limitation "monitoring network access usage by each user" is found in line 23 of claim 1 of the patent.

<u>Regarding claims 20-34</u>, these claims have the same limitations as claims 18-32 of the patent, respectively.

Regarding claim 35, the limitation "forecasting collective network access usage by each user class during a future time interval based on said step of monitoring network access usage by each user" is found in line 21 of claim 1 of the patent.

Regarding claim 36, this claim has the same limitations as claim 34 of the patent.

Regarding claim 37, this claim has the same limitations as claim 33 of the patent.

<u>Regarding claims 38-51</u>, these claims have the same limitations as claims 35-48 of the patent, respectively.

Application/Control Number: 09/935,514

Art Unit: 2663

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3129. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on (571) 272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rin

rkc

Richard Chang Patent Examiner Art Unit 2663

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4